

# CALAFCO Daily Legislative Report as of 6/22/2012

June 29, 2012  
Special Meeting  
Agenda Item 8a

1

## [AB 2238](#) ([Perea D](#)) **Public water systems: drinking water.**

**Current Text:** Amended: 6/20/2012 [pdf](#) [html](#)

**Introduced:** 2/24/2012

**Last Amended:** 6/20/2012

**Status:** 6/21/2012-In committee: Hearing postponed by committee. Withdrawn from committee. Re-referred to Com. on E.Q. (Refers to 6/21/2012 hearing)

| 2Year<br>Dead | Desk      | Policy | Fiscal | Floor | Desk      | Policy | Fiscal | Floor | Conf.<br>Conc. | Enrolled | Vetoed | Chaptered |
|---------------|-----------|--------|--------|-------|-----------|--------|--------|-------|----------------|----------|--------|-----------|
|               | 1st House |        |        |       | 2nd House |        |        |       |                |          |        |           |

### **Calendar:**

7/2/2012 1:30 p.m. - Room 112 SENATE ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY, SIMITIAN, Chairman

### **Summary:**

Current law requires the State Department of Public Health to administer programs to fund improvements and expansion of small community water systems using specified priorities. Current law requires the department to encourage the consolidation of small community water systems that serve disadvantaged communities if consolidation will help the affected agencies and the state meet specified goals. Current law allows funding of studies regarding the feasibility of consolidating 2 or more community water systems, at least one of which is a small community water system that serves a disadvantaged community. Current law requires the department to give funding priority to projects involving physical restructuring of 2 or more community water systems into a single, consolidated system when it is shown that the consolidation would further specified goals. This bill would require the department, in administering programs to fund improvements and expansions of small community water systems and other water systems, as specified, to promote service delivery alternatives that improve efficiency and affordability of infrastructure and service delivery, as specified. This bill contains other related provisions and other current laws.

### **Attachments:**

[CALAFCO Opposition Letter - March 2012](#)

[CALAFCO Opposition Letter - Amended Bill - April 19 2012](#)

[CALAFCO Remove Opposition Letter - May 2012](#)

**Position:** Support

**Subject:** Water, Municipal Services

**CALAFCO Comments:** This bill has been significantly amended to address the concerns raised by CALAFCO. The requirements for LAFCo to conduct reorganization studies in all water and wastewater MSRs has been entirely removed. There are no mandates or requirements for LAFCo in the June amended bill. The bill now would require local water agencies which receive grants for a feasibility study to consider reorganization and efficiency recommendations in a LAFCo MSR, SOI update or special study in that study. It also requires the Department of Public Health to consult with the LAFCo prior to issuing infrastructure grants to ensure alternative delivery options identified by a LAFCo were considered in the feasibility study.

## [AB 2624](#) ([Smyth R](#)) **Sustainable communities.**

**Current Text:** Introduced: 2/24/2012 [pdf](#) [html](#)

**Introduced:** 2/24/2012

**Status:** 6/12/2012-From committee: Do pass and re-refer to Com. on APPR. (Ayes 8. Noes 1.) (June 12). Re-referred to Com. on APPR.

| 2Year<br>Dead | Desk      | Policy | Fiscal | Floor | Desk      | Policy | Fiscal | Floor | Conf.<br>Conc. | Enrolled | Vetoed | Chaptered |
|---------------|-----------|--------|--------|-------|-----------|--------|--------|-------|----------------|----------|--------|-----------|
|               | 1st House |        |        |       | 2nd House |        |        |       |                |          |        |           |

### **Calendar:**

6/25/2012 11 a.m. - John L. Burton Hearing Room (4203) SENATE APPROPRIATIONS, KEHOE, Chair

**Summary:**

The Safe Drinking Water, Water Quality and Supply, Flood Control, River and Coastal Protection Bond Act of 2006, an initiative measure approved by the voters at the November 7, 2006, statewide general election makes about \$5,400,000,000 in bond funds available for safe drinking water, water quality and supply, flood control, natural resource protection, and park improvements. Current law establishes the Strategic Growth Council and appropriated \$500,000 from the funding provided by the initiative to the Natural Resources Agency to support the council and its activities. The council is required to manage and award grants and loans to a council of governments, metropolitan planning organization, regional transportation planning agency, city, county, or joint powers authority for the purpose of developing, adopting, and implementing a regional plan or other planning instrument to support the planning and development of sustainable communities. This bill would make a local agency formation commission eligible for the award of financial assistance for those planning purposes.

**Attachments:**

[CALAFCO Support Letter - April 2012](#)

**Position:** Support

**Subject:** Sustainable Community Plans

**CALAFCO Comments:** Makes LAFCo an eligible agency to apply for Strategic Growth Council grants. Sponsored by CALAFCO.

**[AB 2698](#) (Committee on Local Government) Cortese-Knox-Hertzberg Local Government Reorganization Act of 2000.**

**Current Text:** Enrollment: 6/21/2012 [pdf](#) [html](#)

**Introduced:** 3/21/2012

**Last Amended:** 6/6/2012

**Status:** 6/21/2012-Senate amendments concurred in. To Engrossing and Enrolling.

| 2Year<br>Dead | Desk      | Policy | Fiscal | Floor | Desk      | Policy | Fiscal | Floor | Conf.<br>Conc. | Enrolled | Vetoed | Chaptered |
|---------------|-----------|--------|--------|-------|-----------|--------|--------|-------|----------------|----------|--------|-----------|
|               | 1st House |        |        |       | 2nd House |        |        |       |                |          |        |           |

**Summary:**

Current law, the Cortese-Knox-Hertzberg Local Government Reorganization Act of 2000, sets forth the powers and duties of a local agency formation commission, including, among others, the power to approve the annexation of a contiguous disadvantaged community, under specified circumstances. Current law provides that an application to annex a contiguous disadvantaged community is not required if a commission finds that a majority of the residents within the affected territory are opposed to annexation. This bill would provide that an application to annex a contiguous disadvantaged community is not required if the commission finds that a majority of the registered voters within the affected territory are opposed to annexation. This bill contains other related provisions and other current laws.

**Attachments:**

[CALAFCO Support Letter - 1 May 2012](#)

**Position:** Sponsor

**Subject:** CKH General Procedures

**CALAFCO Comments:** CALAFCO-sponsored annual CKH Omnibus bill. Amended on April 30th to include CALAFCO protest provision and waiver of notice and hearing language.

**[SB 1498](#) (Emmerson R) Local agency formation commission: powers.**

**Current Text:** Introduced: 2/24/2012 [pdf](#) [html](#)

**Introduced:** 2/24/2012

**Status:** 5/11/2012-Failed Deadline pursuant to Rule 61(b)(6). (Last location was S. G. & F. on 3/22/2012)

| 2Year<br>Dead | Desk      | Policy | Fiscal | Floor | Desk      | Policy | Fiscal | Floor | Conf.<br>Conc. | Enrolled | Vetoed | Chaptered |
|---------------|-----------|--------|--------|-------|-----------|--------|--------|-------|----------------|----------|--------|-----------|
|               | 1st House |        |        |       | 2nd House |        |        |       |                |          |        |           |

**Summary:**

The Cortese-Knox-Hertzberg Local Government Reorganization Act of 2000 authorizes a city or district to provide new or extended services by contract or agreement outside its jurisdictional boundaries if the city or district requests and receives permission to do so from the local agency formation commission in the affected county. Current law authorizes the commission to authorize a city or district to provide new or extended services outside its jurisdictional

boundaries but within its sphere of influence in anticipation of a later change of organization, or outside its sphere of influence to respond to an current or impending threat to the public health or safety of the residents of the affected territory, under specified circumstances. This bill would additionally authorize the commission to authorize a city or district to provide new or current services outside its jurisdictional boundaries and outside its sphere of influence to support current or planned uses involving public or private properties, subject to approval at a noticed public hearing, in which certain determinations are made. The bill would also authorize the commission to delegate to its executive officer the approval of certain requests to authorize a city or district to provide new or extended services outside its jurisdictional boundaries or outside its sphere of influence, as described above, under specified circumstances. The bill would also make certain technical, nonsubstantive, and conforming changes. This bill contains other related provisions and other current laws.

**Position:** None at this time

**Subject:** Disadvantaged Communities, Municipal Services

**CALAFCO Comments:** Sponsored by the League of Cities, this bill does two things: 1) it includes the CALAFCO proposed language on expanding out-of-agency service authority (56133) and 2) removes the annexation requirements from SB 244. Those provisions require a city to apply to annex a disadvantaged unincorporated community if they apply to annex adjacent uninhabited territory. It is anticipated this bill will be completely gutted and amended and changed to Senator Wolk as the author. The anticipated direction is to further amend the definition of a disadvantaged unincorporated community. The League is continuing its efforts to remove or significantly modify the DUC annexation requirements when a city applies for an uninhabited annexation adjacent to a DUC.

**SB 1566 (Negrete McLeod D) Vehicle license fees: allocation.**

**Current Text:** Amended: 4/10/2012 [pdf](#) [html](#)

**Introduced:** 2/24/2012

**Last Amended:** 4/10/2012

**Status:** 5/25/2012-Failed Deadline pursuant to Rule 61(b)(8). (Last location was S. APPR. on 5/24/2012)

| 2 Year Dead | Desk      | Policy | Fiscal | Floor | Desk      | Policy | Fiscal | Floor | Conf. Conc. | Enrolled | Vetoed | Chaptered |
|-------------|-----------|--------|--------|-------|-----------|--------|--------|-------|-------------|----------|--------|-----------|
|             | 1st House |        |        |       | 2nd House |        |        |       |             |          |        |           |

**Summary:**

Current law requires that a specified amount of motor vehicle license fees deposited to the credit of the Motor Vehicle License Fee Account in the Transportation Tax Fund be allocated by the Controller, as specified, to the Local Law Enforcement Services Account in the Local Revenue Fund 2011, for allocation to cities, counties, and cities and counties. This bill would instead require, on and after July 1, 2012, that those revenues be distributed first to each city that was incorporated from an unincorporated territory after August 5, 2004, in an amount determined pursuant to a specified formula , second to each city that was incorporated before August 5, 2004, in an amount determined pursuant to a specified formula , and third to the Local Law Enforcement Services Account in the Local Revenue Fund 2011, for allocation to cities, counties, and cities and counties . By authorizing within the Motor Vehicle License Fee Account in the Transportation Tax Fund, a continuously appropriated fund, to be used for a new purpose, the bill would make an appropriation. This bill contains other related provisions and other current laws.

**Attachments:**

[CALAFCO Support Letter](#)

**Position:** Support

**Subject:** Annexation Proceedings, Tax Allocation

**CALAFCO Comments:** This problem would correct the VLF problem created by last year's budget bill SB 89, and restore VLF to recent incorporations and inhabited annexations.

**AB 46 (John A. Pérez D) Local government: cities.**

**Current Text:** Amended: 6/28/2011 [pdf](#) [html](#)

**Introduced:** 12/6/2010**Last Amended:** 6/28/2011**Status:** 8/29/2011-Read third time. Refused passage. (Ayes 13. Noes 17. Page 2084.).

| 2Year<br>Dead | Desk      | Policy | Fiscal | Floor | Desk      | Policy | Fiscal | Floor | Conf.<br>Conc. | Enrolled | Vetoed | Chaptered |
|---------------|-----------|--------|--------|-------|-----------|--------|--------|-------|----------------|----------|--------|-----------|
|               | 1st House |        |        |       | 2nd House |        |        |       |                |          |        |           |

**Summary:**

Current law, the Cortese-Knox-Hertzberg Local Government Reorganization Act of 2000, sets forth the procedures for incorporations and changes of organizations of cities, including procedures for disincorporation. This bill would provide that every city with a population of less than 150 people as of January 1, 2010, would be disincorporated into that city's respective county as of 91 days after the effective date of the bill, unless a county board of supervisors determines, by majority vote within the 90-day period following enactment of these provisions, that continuing such a city within that county's boundaries would serve a public purpose if the board of supervisors determines that the city is in an isolated rural location that makes it impractical for the residents of the community to organize in another form of local governance. The bill would also require the local agency formation commission within the county to oversee the terms and conditions of the disincorporation of the city, as specified. This bill contains other related provisions.

**Position:** None at this time**Subject:** Disincorporation/dissolution

**CALAFCO Comments:** As written this bill applies only to Vernon, California. It bypasses much of the C-K-H disincorporation process, leaving LAFCo only the responsibility of assigning assets and liabilities following disincorporation.

**AB 781 (John A. Pérez D) Local government: counties: unincorporated areas.****Current Text:** Amended: 8/29/2011 [pdf](#) [html](#)**Introduced:** 2/17/2011**Last Amended:** 8/29/2011**Status:** 8/30/2011-Measure version as amended on August 29 corrected.

| 2Year<br>Dead | Desk      | Policy | Fiscal | Floor | Desk      | Policy | Fiscal | Floor | Conf.<br>Conc. | Enrolled | Vetoed | Chaptered |
|---------------|-----------|--------|--------|-------|-----------|--------|--------|-------|----------------|----------|--------|-----------|
|               | 1st House |        |        |       | 2nd House |        |        |       |                |          |        |           |

**Summary:**

Current law, the Cortese-Knox-Hertzberg Local Government Reorganization Act of 2000, sets forth the procedures for incorporations and changes of organizations of cities, including procedures for disincorporation. This bill would authorize the board of supervisors of a county in which a city that will be disincorporated pursuant to statute is located to vote to continue that city if, after receipt of an audit conducted by the State Auditor, the board of supervisors determines that the territory to be disincorporated is not expected to generate revenues sufficient to provide public services and facilities, maintain a reasonable reserve, and pay its obligations during the 5 years following disincorporation. The bill would require a city that is audited pursuant to these provisions to reimburse the State Auditor for the costs incurred to perform the audit, thereby imposing a state-mandated local program. This bill contains other related provisions and other current laws.

**Position:** Watch**Subject:** Disincorporation/dissolution, Special District Principle Acts

**CALAFCO Comments:** This bill was gutted and amended on 20 June to create a CSD in any unincorporated area that was previously a city and was disincorporated by the legislature. It is specifically targeted at Vernon. It also contains language directing LAFCo on the terms and conditions of the disincorporation.

**AB 2208 (Perea D) Drinking water.****Current Text:** Amended: 6/12/2012 [pdf](#) [html](#)**Introduced:** 2/23/2012**Last Amended:** 6/12/2012

**Status:** 6/12/2012-From committee chair, with author's amendments: Amend, and re-refer to committee. Read second time, amended, and re-referred to Com. on E.Q.

| 2Year<br>Dead | Desk      | Policy | Fiscal | Floor | Desk      | Policy | Fiscal | Floor | Conf.<br>Conc. | Enrolled | Vetoed | Chaptered |
|---------------|-----------|--------|--------|-------|-----------|--------|--------|-------|----------------|----------|--------|-----------|
|               | 1st House |        |        |       | 2nd House |        |        |       |                |          |        |           |

**Calendar:**

7/2/2012 1:30 p.m. - Room 112 SENATE ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY, SIMITIAN, Chairman

**Summary:**

Current law, the California Safe Drinking Water Act, requires the State Department of Public Health to administer provisions relating to the regulation of drinking water to protect public health. Current law, the Safe Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Law of 1997, establishes the Safe Drinking Water State Revolving Fund, which is continuously appropriated to the department for the provision of grants and revolving fund loans for the design and construction of projects for public water systems that will enable suppliers to meet safe drinking water standards. Current law prohibits the department from approving applications for this funding unless the department determines the proposed study or project meets specified criteria. This bill would authorize the department , with the consent of the applicants, to combine proposed studies and projects from multiple applicants .

**Position:** Watch**Subject:** Water

**CALAFCO Comments:** While currently this bill does not directly affect LAFCOs it is sponsored by the same people at AB 2238 (CRLA) and is in many ways tied to that bill. The current amendments do affect water and wastewater agencies which may be of concern to LAFCOs and CALAFCO. It is also likely this bill will be significantly amended but at this time we don't know where it is going.

**AB 2210 (Smyth R) County assessors: notification.****Current Text:** Amended: 5/21/2012 [pdf](#) [html](#)**Introduced:** 2/23/2012**Last Amended:** 5/21/2012**Status:** 6/18/2012-In committee: Set, first hearing. Hearing canceled at the request of author.

| 2Year<br>Dead | Desk      | Policy | Fiscal | Floor | Desk      | Policy | Fiscal | Floor | Conf.<br>Conc. | Enrolled | Vetoed | Chaptered |
|---------------|-----------|--------|--------|-------|-----------|--------|--------|-------|----------------|----------|--------|-----------|
|               | 1st House |        |        |       | 2nd House |        |        |       |                |          |        |           |

**Summary:**

Current law requires a county assessor, upon the request of the governing body of the jurisdiction where the assessor performs the duty of assessing taxes, to furnish an estimate of the assessed valuation of property within the jurisdiction for the succeeding fiscal year. This bill would require the assessor, upon a request by the board of supervisors to furnish an estimate of the assessed valuation of property within the county for the succeeding fiscal year, to estimate whether property valuations have decreased by 3% or more and, if so, require the assessor to issue a written report to the board of supervisors within 30 days. This bill would require the assessor to , within 15 days of notifying the board of supervisors, also notify the Department of Finance and all cities and affected school districts within the county .

**Position:** None at this time**Subject:** Annexation Proceedings**CALAFCO Comments:** Placeholder bill on property tax exchange agreements.**AB 2418 (Gordon D) Health districts.****Current Text:** Amended: 5/1/2012 [pdf](#) [html](#)**Introduced:** 2/24/2012**Last Amended:** 5/1/2012**Status:** 5/25/2012-Failed Deadline pursuant to Rule 61(b)(8). (Last location was A. APPR. SUSPENSE FILE on 5/16/2012)

| 2Year<br>Dead | Desk      | Policy | Fiscal | Floor | Desk      | Policy | Fiscal | Floor | Conf.<br>Conc. | Enrolled | Vetoed | Chaptered |
|---------------|-----------|--------|--------|-------|-----------|--------|--------|-------|----------------|----------|--------|-----------|
|               | 1st House |        |        |       | 2nd House |        |        |       |                |          |        |           |

**Summary:**

Current law, the Local Health Care District Law, authorizes a local health care district to generate revenue through an annual assessment on real and personal property within the district . This bill would require a health care district to spend at least 95% of the revenue derived from an annual general tax levy on current community health care benefits, as specified. The bill would expressly exclude from the definition of community health care benefits the salaries paid and benefits provided to staff of the districts and benefits provided to board members, among other items. By increasing the duties of local officials, this bill would impose a

state-mandated local program . This bill contains other related provisions and other current laws.

**Position:** Watch

**Subject:** Special District Principle Acts

**CALAFCO Comments:** Limits the amount of general tax levy revenue a healthcare district may spend on administrative costs. Excludes the costs of staff/board salaries and benefits. Specifies what tax levy revenues may be spent on, including powers authorized by LAFCo.

**ACA 17 (Logue R) State-mandated local programs.**

**Current Text:** Introduced: 2/15/2011 [pdf](#) [html](#)

**Introduced:** 2/15/2011

**Status:** 4/14/2011-Referred to Com. on L. GOV.

| 2Year<br>Dead | Desk      | Policy | Fiscal | Floor | Desk      | Policy | Fiscal | Floor | Conf.<br>Conc. | Enrolled | Vetoed | Chaptered |
|---------------|-----------|--------|--------|-------|-----------|--------|--------|-------|----------------|----------|--------|-----------|
|               | 1st House |        |        |       | 2nd House |        |        |       |                |          |        |           |

**Summary:**

Under the California Constitution, whenever the Legislature or a state agency mandates a new program or higher level of service on any local government, the state is required to provide a subvention of funds to reimburse the local government. With regard to certain mandates imposed on a city, county, city and county, or special district that have been determine to be payable, the Legislature is required either to appropriate, in the annual Budget Act, the full payable amount of the mandate, determined as specified, or to suspend the operation of the mandate for the fiscal year. The California Constitution provides that the Legislature is not required to appropriate funds for specified mandates.

**Position:** None at this time

**Subject:** LAFCo Administration

**CALAFCO Comments:** Changes state mandate law in a proposed constitutional amendment. Included is specific language that releases mandate responsibility if the local agency can change an individual or applicant for the cost of providing the mandated service. Would likely exempt some mandates to LAFCo from state funding.

**SB 46 (Correa D) Public officials: compensation disclosure.**

**Current Text:** Amended: 6/2/2011 [pdf](#) [html](#)

**Introduced:** 12/9/2010

**Last Amended:** 6/2/2011

**Status:** 8/22/2011-In Assembly. Read first time. Held at Desk.

| 2Year<br>Dead | Desk      | Policy | Fiscal | Floor | Desk      | Policy | Fiscal | Floor | Conf.<br>Conc. | Enrolled | Vetoed | Chaptered |
|---------------|-----------|--------|--------|-------|-----------|--------|--------|-------|----------------|----------|--------|-----------|
|               | 1st House |        |        |       | 2nd House |        |        |       |                |          |        |           |

**Summary:**

Current provisions of the Political Reform Act of 1974 require certain persons employed by agencies to file annually a written statement of the economic interests they possess during specified periods. The act requires that state agencies promulgate a conflict of interest code that must contain, among other topics, provisions that require designated employees to file statements disclosing reportable investments, business positions, interests in real property, and income. The act requires that every report and statement filed pursuant to the act is a public record and is open to public inspection. This bill would, commencing on January 1, 2013, and continuing until January 1, 2019, require every designated employee and other person, except a candidate for public office, who is required to file a statement of economic interests to include, as a part of that filing, a compensation disclosure form that provides compensation information for the preceding calendar year, as specified. This bill contains other related provisions and other current laws.

**Attachments:**

[CALAFCO Opposition Letter](#)

**Position:** Oppose

**Subject:** LAFCo Administration

**CALAFCO Comments:** Similar to a 2010 bill, this would require all those who file a Form 700 to also file an extensive compensation and reimbursement disclosure report. Would require all local agencies, including LAFCo, to annually post the forms on their website.

**SB 191 (Committee on Governance and Finance) Validations.****Current Text:** Amended: 5/16/2011 [pdf](#) [html](#)**Introduced:** 2/8/2011**Last Amended:** 5/16/2011**Status:** 5/25/2012-In Assembly. Held at Desk.

| 2Year | Desk      | Policy | Fiscal | Floor | Desk      | Policy | Fiscal | Floor | Conf. | Enrolled | Vetoed | Chaptered |
|-------|-----------|--------|--------|-------|-----------|--------|--------|-------|-------|----------|--------|-----------|
| Dead  | 1st House |        |        |       | 2nd House |        |        |       | Conc. |          |        |           |

**Summary:**

This bill would enact the First Validating Act of 2011, which would validate the organization, boundaries, acts, proceedings, and bonds of the state and counties, cities, and specified districts, agencies, and entities. This bill contains other related provisions.

**Attachments:**[CALAFCO Support Letter](#)**Position:** Support**Subject:** LAFCo Administration**CALAFCO Comments:** One of three annual acts which validate the boundaries of all local agencies.**SB 192 (Committee on Governance and Finance) Validations.****Current Text:** Amended: 5/16/2011 [pdf](#) [html](#)**Introduced:** 2/8/2011**Last Amended:** 5/16/2011**Status:** 5/25/2012-In Assembly. Held at Desk.

| 2Year | Desk      | Policy | Fiscal | Floor | Desk      | Policy | Fiscal | Floor | Conf. | Enrolled | Vetoed | Chaptered |
|-------|-----------|--------|--------|-------|-----------|--------|--------|-------|-------|----------|--------|-----------|
| Dead  | 1st House |        |        |       | 2nd House |        |        |       | Conc. |          |        |           |

**Summary:**

This bill would enact the Second Validating Act of 2011, which would validate the organization, boundaries, acts, proceedings, and bonds of the state and counties, cities, and specified districts, agencies, and entities. This bill contains other related provisions.

**Attachments:**[CALAFCO Support Letter](#)**Position:** Support**Subject:** LAFCo Administration**CALAFCO Comments:** One of three annual acts which validate the boundaries of all local agencies.**SB 804 (Corbett D) Health care districts: transfers of assets.****Current Text:** Amended: 6/6/2012 [pdf](#) [html](#)**Introduced:** 2/18/2011**Last Amended:** 6/6/2012**Status:** 6/13/2012-From committee: Do pass and re-refer to Com. on L. GOV. (Ayes 14. Noes 4.) (June 12). Re-referred to Com. on L. GOV.

| 2Year | Desk      | Policy | Fiscal | Floor | Desk      | Policy | Fiscal | Floor | Conf. | Enrolled | Vetoed | Chaptered |
|-------|-----------|--------|--------|-------|-----------|--------|--------|-------|-------|----------|--------|-----------|
| Dead  | 1st House |        |        |       | 2nd House |        |        |       | Conc. |          |        |           |

**Calendar:**

6/27/2012 1:30 p.m. - State Capitol, Room 447 ASSEMBLY LOCAL GOVERNMENT, SMYTH, Chair

**Summary:**

Current law authorizes a health care district to transfer, for the benefit of the communities served by the district, in the absence of adequate consideration, any part of the assets of the district to one or more nonprofit corporations to operate and maintain the assets. Current law deems a transfer of 50% or more of the district's assets to be for the benefit of the communities served only upon the occurrence of specified conditions. This bill would include among the above-described conditions the inclusion within the transfer agreement of the appraised fair market value of any asset transferred to the nonprofit corporation, as specified. This bill contains other related provisions and other current laws.

**Position:** None at this time

**Subject:** Special District Principle Acts

**CALAFCO Comments:** Current law allows the transfer of Health Care District assets to a non profit to operate and maintain the asset. This bill would include in the transfer, the transfer of the fair market value of the asset.

**SB 1084 (La Malfa R) Local government: reorganization.**

**Current Text:** Introduced: 2/14/2012 [pdf](#) [html](#)

**Introduced:** 2/14/2012

**Status:** 5/11/2012-Failed Deadline pursuant to Rule 61(b)(6). (Last location was S. RLS. on 3/1/2012)

| 2Year<br>Dead | Desk      | Policy | Fiscal | Floor | Desk      | Policy | Fiscal | Floor | Conf.<br>Conc. | Enrolled | Vetoed | Chaptered |
|---------------|-----------|--------|--------|-------|-----------|--------|--------|-------|----------------|----------|--------|-----------|
|               | 1st House |        |        |       | 2nd House |        |        |       |                |          |        |           |

**Summary:**

Current law, for purposes of the Cortese-Knox-Hertzberg Local Government Reorganization Act of 2000, makes various legislative findings and declarations regarding the use of local government reorganization. This bill would make a technical, nonsubstantive change to that provision.

**Position:** None at this time

**CALAFCO Comments:** This is a placeholder bill.

**SB 1090 (Committee on Governance and Finance) Local government: omnibus bill.**

**Current Text:** Amended: 6/15/2012 [pdf](#) [html](#)

**Introduced:** 2/15/2012

**Last Amended:** 6/15/2012

**Status:** 6/15/2012-From committee with author's amendments. Read second time and amended. Re-referred to Com. on L. GOV. (Corrected June 19.)

| 2Year<br>Dead | Desk      | Policy | Fiscal | Floor | Desk      | Policy | Fiscal | Floor | Conf.<br>Conc. | Enrolled | Vetoed | Chaptered |
|---------------|-----------|--------|--------|-------|-----------|--------|--------|-------|----------------|----------|--------|-----------|
|               | 1st House |        |        |       | 2nd House |        |        |       |                |          |        |           |

**Calendar:**

6/27/2012 1:30 p.m. - State Capitol, Room 447 ASSEMBLY LOCAL GOVERNMENT, SMYTH, Chair

**Summary:**

Current law requires the Controller to compile and publish reports of the financial transactions of each county, city, and special district within this state, together with any other matter he or she deems of public interest. Current law requires the Controller to annually publish reports of the financial transactions of each school district within this state, together with any other matter he or she deems of public interest. This bill would require the Controller to publish the annual reports of the financial transactions of each school district on the Internet Web site of the Controller. This bill contains other related provisions and other current laws.

**Position:** None at this time

**CALAFCO Comments:** Senate Omnibus bill. At this time it does not contain any LAFCo-related legislation.

**AB 1266 (Nielsen R) Local government: Williamson Act: agricultural preserves: advisory board.**

**Current Text:** Introduced: 2/18/2011 [pdf](#) [html](#)

**Introduced:** 2/18/2011

**Status:** 7/14/2011-From consent calendar. Ordered to third reading. Ordered to inactive file at the request of Senator La Malfa.

| 2Year<br>Dead | Desk      | Policy | Fiscal | Floor | Desk      | Policy | Fiscal | Floor | Conf.<br>Conc. | Enrolled | Vetoed | Chaptered |
|---------------|-----------|--------|--------|-------|-----------|--------|--------|-------|----------------|----------|--------|-----------|
|               | 1st House |        |        |       | 2nd House |        |        |       |                |          |        |           |

**Summary:**

Current law, the Williamson Act, authorizes a city or county to enter into contracts to establish

agricultural preserves. Current law also authorizes the legislative body of a city or county to appoint an advisory board to advise the legislative body on agricultural preserve matters. This bill would specify matters on which the advisory board may advise the legislative body of a county or city. This bill would also state that the advisory board is not the exclusive mechanism through which the legislative body can receive advice on or address matters regarding agricultural preserves.

**Position:** None at this time

**Subject:** Ag Preservation - Williamson

**CALAFCO Comments:** Specifies additional responsibilities for the county or city Williamson Act advisory board. May also be a placeholder for more significant modifications to the Williamson Act.

**AB 1902 (Jones R) Publication: newspaper of general circulation: Internet Web site.**

**Current Text:** Introduced: 2/22/2012 [pdf](#) [html](#)

**Introduced:** 2/22/2012

**Status:** 5/11/2012-Failed Deadline pursuant to Rule 61(b)(6). (Last location was A. L. GOV. on 4/18/2012)

| 2Year<br>Dead | Desk      | Policy | Fiscal | Floor | Desk      | Policy | Fiscal | Floor | Conf.<br>Conc. | Enrolled | Vetoed | Chaptered |
|---------------|-----------|--------|--------|-------|-----------|--------|--------|-------|----------------|----------|--------|-----------|
|               | 1st House |        |        |       | 2nd House |        |        |       |                |          |        |           |

**Summary:**

Current law requires that various types of notices are provided in a newspaper of general circulation. Current law requires a newspaper of general circulation to meet certain criteria, including, among others, that it be published and have a substantial distribution to paid subscribers in the city, district, or judicial district in which it is seeking adjudication. This bill would provide that a newspaper that is available on an Internet Web site may also qualify as a newspaper of general circulation, provided that newspaper meets certain criteria.

**Position:** None at this time

**Subject:** LAFCo Administration

**CALAFCO Comments:** Allows posting of notices in a web-based newspaper.

**AB 2452 (Ammiano D) Political Reform Act of 1974: online disclosure.**

**Current Text:** Amended: 5/8/2012 [pdf](#) [html](#)

**Introduced:** 2/24/2012

**Last Amended:** 5/8/2012

**Status:** 6/21/2012-Read second time. Ordered to third reading.

| 2Year<br>Dead | Desk      | Policy | Fiscal | Floor | Desk      | Policy | Fiscal | Floor | Conf.<br>Conc. | Enrolled | Vetoed | Chaptered |
|---------------|-----------|--------|--------|-------|-----------|--------|--------|-------|----------------|----------|--------|-----------|
|               | 1st House |        |        |       | 2nd House |        |        |       |                |          |        |           |

**Calendar:**

6/25/2012 #82 SENATE ASSEMBLY BILLS-THIRD READING FILE

**Summary:**

The Political Reform Act of 1974 requires specified candidates, committees, slate mailer organizations, and lobbyists, lobbying firms, and lobbyist employers to file campaign statements and reports online or electronically with the Secretary of State, as specified. The act requires certain of these entities to also file campaign statements and reports with local filing officers, as specified. This bill , with certain exceptions, would authorize a local government agency to require an elected officer, candidate, committee, or other person required to file specified statements, reports, or other documents to file those statements, reports, or other documents online or electronically with a local filing officer. The bill would prescribe criteria that must be satisfied by a local government agency that requires online or electronic filing of statements, reports, or other documents, as specified, including, among others, that the system be available free of charge to filers and to the public for viewing filings, and that the system include a procedure for filers to comply with the requirement that they sign statements and reports under penalty of perjury. This bill contains other related provisions and other current laws.

**Position:** None at this time

**Subject:** LAFCo Administration

**CALAFCO Comments:** Allows on-line filing of Political Reform Act documents with local

agencies.

**SB 878 (DeSaulnier D) Regional planning: Bay Area.**

**Current Text:** Amended: 6/4/2012 [pdf](#) [html](#)

**Introduced:** 2/18/2011

**Last Amended:** 6/4/2012

**Status:** 6/13/2012-From committee: Do pass and re-refer to Com. on NAT. RES. (Ayes 5. Noes 3.) (June 13). Re-referred to Com. on NAT. RES.

| 2 Year Dead | Desk      | Policy | Fiscal | Floor | Desk      | Policy | Fiscal | Floor | Conf. Conc. | Enrolled | Vetoed | Chaptered |
|-------------|-----------|--------|--------|-------|-----------|--------|--------|-------|-------------|----------|--------|-----------|
|             | 1st House |        |        |       | 2nd House |        |        |       |             |          |        |           |

**Calendar:**

7/2/2012 1:30 p.m. - State Capitol, Room 447 ASSEMBLY NATURAL RESOURCES, CHESBRO, Chair

**Summary:**

The Metropolitan Transportation Commission Act creates the Metropolitan Transportation Commission as a regional agency in the 9-county Bay Area with comprehensive regional transportation planning and other related responsibilities, including development of a regional transportation plan with a sustainable communities strategy. Current law requires a joint policy committee of the commission, the Association of Bay Area Governments, the Bay Area Air Quality Management District, and the San Francisco Bay Conservation and Development Commission to coordinate the development and drafting of major planning documents prepared by the 4 agencies. This bill would require the joint policy committee to submit a written report to the Legislature by January 31, 2014, on, among other things, methods and strategies for developing and implementing a multiagency set of policies and guidelines relative to the Bay Area region's sustainable communities strategy, including recommendations on organizational reforms for the regional agencies. The bill would require the joint policy committee to prepare a written 10-year regional economic development strategy to consist of specified components for submission to the Legislature by June 30, 2014. The bill would require the joint policy committee to adopt goals and policies related to, among other things, the inclusion of economic development opportunities in the plans of the regional entities and in its own plans. The bill would require the joint policy committee to appoint a specified advisory committee and to consult with that committee with respect to the economic development strategy. The bill would also require the member agencies to report on public outreach efforts that they individually or jointly perform. The bill would require public meetings in each of the region's 9 counties. By imposing new duties on local agencies, the bill would impose a state-mandated local program. This bill contains other related provisions and other current laws.

**Position:** None at this time

**Subject:** Sustainable Community Plans

**CALAFCO Comments:** Provides legislative direction to the Bay Area counties on development of their sustainable communities strategy and requires the "joint committee" to report back to the Legislature by 1 January 2013.

**SB 1149 (DeSaulnier D) Bay Area Regional Commission.**

**Current Text:** Amended: 5/15/2012 [pdf](#) [html](#)

**Introduced:** 2/21/2012

**Last Amended:** 5/15/2012

**Status:** 5/25/2012-Failed Deadline pursuant to Rule 61(b)(8). (Last location was S. APPR. on 5/15/2012)

| 2 Year Dead | Desk      | Policy | Fiscal | Floor | Desk      | Policy | Fiscal | Floor | Conf. Conc. | Enrolled | Vetoed | Chaptered |
|-------------|-----------|--------|--------|-------|-----------|--------|--------|-------|-------------|----------|--------|-----------|
|             | 1st House |        |        |       | 2nd House |        |        |       |             |          |        |           |

**Summary:**

Current law creates the Metropolitan Transportation Commission, the Bay Area Toll Authority, the Bay Area Air Quality Management District, and the San Francisco Bay Conservation and Development Commission, with various powers and duties relative to all or a portion of the 9-county San Francisco Bay Area region with respect to transportation, air quality, and environmental planning, as specified. Another regional entity, the Association of Bay Area Governments, is created as a joint powers agency comprised of cities and counties under current law with regional planning responsibilities. Current law provides for a joint policy committee of certain regional agencies to collaborate on regional coordination. Current law

requires regional transportation planning agencies, as part of the regional transportation plan in urban areas, to develop a sustainable communities strategy coordinating transportation, land use, and air quality planning, with specified objectives. This bill would create the Bay Area Regional Commission with specified powers and duties, including the powers and duties previously exercised by the joint policy committee. The bill would require the regional entities that are funding the joint policy committee to continue to provide the same amount of funding as provided in the 2012-13 fiscal year, as adjusted for inflation, but to provide those funds to the commission rather than to the committee. The bill would provide for the Bay Area Toll Authority to make contributions to the commission, as specified, in furtherance of the exercise of the authority's toll bridge powers. The bill would require federal and state funds made available to the Metropolitan Transportation Commission for purposes of transportation planning to be budgeted to the Bay Area Regional Commission. The bill would specify the powers and duties of the commission relative to the other regional entities referenced above, including the power to approve the budgets of those regional entities and to develop an integrated budget for the commission and the regional entities. The bill would provide for the commission's executive director to develop a regional reorganization plan, with consolidation of certain administrative functions of the regional entities under the commission, with a final plan to be adopted by the commission by June 30, 2016. The bill would require organization of the regional entities as divisions of the commission, and would require the executive director to recommend candidates for vacant executive director positions at the regional entities as these positions become vacant. The bill would require the commission to adopt public and community outreach policies by October 31, 2015. The bill would require the commission to review and comment on policies and plans relative to the transportation planning sustainable communities strategy of the regional entities under Senate Bill 375 of the 2007-08 Regular Session, and beginning on January 1, 2017, the bill would provide for the commission to adopt or seek modifications to the functional regional plan adopted by each regional entity in that regard and would provide that the commission is responsible for ensuring that the regional sustainable communities strategy for the region is consistent with Senate Bill 375 of the 2007-08 Regular Session. The bill would require the commission to prepare a 20-year regional economic development strategy for the region, to be adopted by December 31, 2015, and updated every 4 years thereafter. The bill would require any changes proposed by the commission with respect to bridge toll revenues managed by the Bay Area Toll Authority to be consistent with bond covenants, and would prohibit investment in real property of toll revenues in any reserve fund. This bill contains other related provisions and other current laws.

**Position:** Watch

**Subject:** Sustainable Community Plans

**SB 1305 (Blakeslee R) Regional open-space district: County of San Luis Obispo.**

**Current Text:** Introduced: 2/23/2012 [pdf](#) [html](#)

**Introduced:** 2/23/2012

**Status:** 5/11/2012-Failed Deadline pursuant to Rule 61(b)(6). (Last location was S. G. & F. on 3/8/2012)

| 2 Year<br>Dead | Desk      | Policy | Fiscal | Floor | Desk      | Policy | Fiscal | Floor | Conf.<br>Conc. | Enrolled | Vetoed | Chaptered |
|----------------|-----------|--------|--------|-------|-----------|--------|--------|-------|----------------|----------|--------|-----------|
|                | 1st House |        |        |       | 2nd House |        |        |       |                |          |        |           |

**Summary:**

Current law permits proceedings for the formation of a regional park and open-space district in specified counties of the state to be initiated by resolution of the county board of supervisors adopted after a noticed hearing, and specifies the contents of the resolution. This bill, in addition, would permit the formation of a regional open-space district in the County of San Luis Obispo to be initiated by resolution of the county board of supervisors after a noticed hearing, if the boundaries of a proposed district are coterminous with the exterior boundaries of the County of San Luis Obispo. The bill would specify the contents of the resolution, including a requirement to call an election, as prescribed.

**Position:** None at this time

**Subject:** Special District Principle Acts

**CALAFCO Comments:** Allows the creation of an open space district in San Luis Obispo County and circumvents the LAFco process.

**SB 1337 (DeSaulnier D) Zone 7 Water Agency Act.**

**Current Text:** Amended: 5/1/2012 [pdf](#) [html](#)

**Introduced:** 2/24/2012

**Last Amended:** 5/1/2012

**Status:** 5/25/2012-Failed Deadline pursuant to Rule 61(b)(8). (Last location was S. APPR. on 5/1/2012)

| 2 Year Dead | Desk      | Policy | Fiscal | Floor | Desk      | Policy | Fiscal | Floor | Conf. Conc. | Enrolled | Vetoed | Chaptered |
|-------------|-----------|--------|--------|-------|-----------|--------|--------|-------|-------------|----------|--------|-----------|
|             | 1st House |        |        |       | 2nd House |        |        |       |             |          |        |           |

**Summary:**

Current law, the Alameda County Flood Control and Water Conservation District Act, establishes the Alameda County Flood Control and Water Conservation District and grants to the district authority relating to, among other things, flood control and stormwater. Under the district law, the Board of Supervisors of Alameda County serves as the Board of Supervisors of the Alameda County Flood Control and Water Conservation District. This bill would create the Zone 7 Water Agency, as prescribed, with specified authorizations, powers, and duties. This bill would permit the Alameda County Local Agency Formation Commission to exclude some or all of the agency's territory from the boundaries of the district and would eliminate from the district act provisions relating to the governance of a zone lying, in whole or in part, in Pleasanton or Murray Townships. This bill would authorize the agency to continue to impose any special taxes based upon assessed value or any other special taxes, assessments, or charges imposed by or on behalf of the former Zone 7, would authorize the agency to impose new special taxes or levy assessments, as prescribed, and would require any taxes or assessments to be levied and collected together with taxes for county purposes, as specified. This bill would also authorize the agency to designate the county treasury as its treasury, as prescribed. This bill contains other related provisions and other current laws.

**Position:** None at this time

**Subject:** Water

**CALAFCO Comments:** CALAFCO typically opposes legislation which circumvents the LAFCo process. This is a slightly different situation where the legislature is being asked to change an old special act district (which would have previously circumvented the LAFCo process) with some complex changes.

**[SB 1380](#) ([Rubio](#) D) Environmental quality: California Environmental Quality Act: bicycle transportation plan.**

**Current Text:** Amended: 5/3/2012 [pdf](#) [html](#)

**Introduced:** 2/24/2012

**Last Amended:** 5/3/2012

**Status:** 6/15/2012-Re-referred to Coms. on TRANS. and NAT. RES.

| 2 Year Dead | Desk      | Policy | Fiscal | Floor | Desk      | Policy | Fiscal | Floor | Conf. Conc. | Enrolled | Vetoed | Chaptered |
|-------------|-----------|--------|--------|-------|-----------|--------|--------|-------|-------------|----------|--------|-----------|
|             | 1st House |        |        |       | 2nd House |        |        |       |             |          |        |           |

**Calendar:**

6/25/2012 1:30 p.m. - State Capitol, Room 4202 ASSEMBLY TRANSPORTATION, LOWENTHAL, Chair

**Summary:**

The California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) requires a lead agency, as defined, to prepare, or cause to be prepared, and certify the completion of, an environmental impact report (EIR) on a project that it proposes to carry out or approve that may have a significant effect on the environment or to adopt a negative declaration if it finds that the project will not have that effect. CEQA also requires a lead agency to prepare a mitigated negative declaration for a project that may have a significant effect on the environment if revisions in the project would avoid or mitigate that effect and there is no substantial evidence that the project, as revised, would have a significant effect on the environment. CEQA requires the lead agencies to make specified findings in an EIR. This bill, until January 1, 2018, would exempt from CEQA a bicycle transportation plan for an urbanized area, as specified and would also require a local agency or person who determines that the bicycle transportation plan is exempt under this provision and approves or determines to carry out that project, to file notice of the determination with the OPR. This bill contains other current laws.

**Position:** Watch

**Subject:** CEQA

**CALAFCO Comments:** The bill has been significantly amended to require certain documentation in a CEQA report prepared for a Bicycle Transportation Plan.

**SB 1459 (De León D) Regional and local park districts: cities and counties.**

**Current Text:** Introduced: 2/24/2012 [pdf](#) [html](#)

**Introduced:** 2/24/2012

**Status:** 5/11/2012-Failed Deadline pursuant to Rule 61(b)(6). (Last location was S. RLS. on 3/22/2012)

| 2Year<br>Dead | Desk      | Policy | Fiscal | Floor | Desk      | Policy | Fiscal | Floor | Conf.<br>Conc. | Enrolled | Vetoed | Chaptered |
|---------------|-----------|--------|--------|-------|-----------|--------|--------|-------|----------------|----------|--------|-----------|
|               | 1st House |        |        |       | 2nd House |        |        |       |                |          |        |           |

**Summary:**

Current law prescribes procedures for the formation of regional park districts, regional park and open-space districts, or regional open-space districts. Current law authorizes 3 or more cities, together with any parcel or parcels of city or county territory, whether in the same or different counties, to organize and incorporate, but requires that all the territory in the proposed district be contiguous. This bill would revise the above authorization to instead only allow district formation for 4 or more cities.

**Position:** None at this time

**Subject:** Special District Principle Acts

**SB 1501 (Kehoe D) Open-space easements.**

**Current Text:** Amended: 4/11/2012 [pdf](#) [html](#)

**Introduced:** 2/24/2012

**Last Amended:** 4/11/2012

**Status:** 6/14/2012-Read second time. Ordered to third reading.

| 2Year<br>Dead | Desk      | Policy | Fiscal | Floor | Desk      | Policy | Fiscal | Floor | Conf.<br>Conc. | Enrolled | Vetoed | Chaptered |
|---------------|-----------|--------|--------|-------|-----------|--------|--------|-------|----------------|----------|--------|-----------|
|               | 1st House |        |        |       | 2nd House |        |        |       |                |          |        |           |

**Calendar:**

6/25/2012 #34 ASSEMBLY SENATE THIRD READING FILE

**Summary:**

Current law regulates the execution and acceptance of a grant of an open-space easement, as defined. The execution and acceptance of a grant of an open-space easement constitutes a dedication to the public of the open-space character of the lands for the term specified. Current law provides that the easement and covenant run for a term of not less than 20 years. Current law authorizes an open-space easement to contain a covenant against the extraction of natural resources or other activities that may destroy the unique physical and scenic characteristics of the land, as specified. This bill would make technical, nonsubstantive changes to these provisions. This bill contains other related provisions and other current laws.

**Position:** None at this time

**Subject:** Ag/Open Space Protection

**CALAFCO Comments:** Currently a placeholder bill regarding open space easements.

**SB 1519 (Fuller R) Desert View Water District-Bighorn Mountains Water Agency consolidation.**

**Current Text:** Introduced: 2/24/2012 [pdf](#) [html](#)

**Introduced:** 2/24/2012

**Status:** 5/11/2012-Failed Deadline pursuant to Rule 61(b)(6). (Last location was S. RLS. on 3/22/2012)

| 2Year<br>Dead | Desk      | Policy | Fiscal | Floor | Desk      | Policy | Fiscal | Floor | Conf.<br>Conc. | Enrolled | Vetoed | Chaptered |
|---------------|-----------|--------|--------|-------|-----------|--------|--------|-------|----------------|----------|--------|-----------|
|               | 1st House |        |        |       | 2nd House |        |        |       |                |          |        |           |

**Summary:**

Current law, the Desert View Water District-Bighorn Mountains Water Agency Consolidation Law, effected a consolidation between the Desert View Water District and the Bighorn Mountains Water Agency and required the successor board of directors to operate under the Bighorn Mountains Water Agency Law. Under current law, for a period of not less than 10 years after January 1, 1990, meetings of the successor board of directors are required to be held, as prescribed. This bill would make a technical, nonsubstantive change in these provisions.

**Position:** None at this time

**Subject:** Special District Principle Acts

Total Measures: 28

Total Tracking Forms: 28

6/22/2012 9:42:13 AM